



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/663,045	09/15/2000	Jeffrey Scott Kuskin	73139/0269870	3408
27498	7590	03/01/2005	EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP 2475 HANOVER STREET PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1114			DUONG, FRANK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2666	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/663,045	Applicant(s) KUSKIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Frank Duong	Art Unit 2666	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Frank Duong. (3) _____

(2) Mr. Mark J. Danielson. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 February 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hioe et al (USP 6,341,145).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Danielson asserts the claimed "a frame transmitter" includes "a transmitter buffer" that transceives frame to/from buffer interface/network, respectively, is a distinct features. Examiner respectfully disagrees and asserts the Hioe et al patent clearly reads on it. Hioe et al patent, Figure 3 discloses a modulation section (203) (corresponding to "frame transmitter") having RF-module 310, IF-module 308 and BB-module 306. Each of the module has a buffer (corresponding to the disputed limitation). Examiner advices Mr. Danielson to discuss with the inventor to further amend the claimed invention to place the application in a favorable condition for allowance. Upon receiving a response, everything will be considered in light of the subject matters discussed during the interview. No agreement was reached! .